UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria,	Virginia	2231	3-1	450
www.uspto.	gov			

				Don	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,589	02/06/2004	Nokihisa Adachi	428291/0024	8964	
7590 03/28/2007 Lawrence Rosenthal Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP			EXAMINER NGUYEN, PHONG H		
180 Maiden La New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			3724		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/773,589	,	ADACHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Phong H. N	guyen	3724			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun			orrespondence address			
Period fo							
· WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nunication. atutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION it, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2003 and 17 January 2007.						
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is no	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	<i>yle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•					
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the f	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
🗆	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority L	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority		• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ed in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the Internation		• • • •	d			
	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the centil	eu copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen			_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,589

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art, hereinafter AAPA, in view of Shinomiya et al. (4,506,577), hereinafter Shinomiya, Ima et al. (5,761,980), hereinafter Ima, and Polh (5,325,754).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, AAPA teaches a method for controlling a slitter apparatus comprising the steps of:

supplying a paperboard sheet along a feed line; and moving the slitter in a vertical direction and a widthwise direction.

See the Specification from page 1, line 10 to page 3, line 8.

The slitter moves out of the paperboard vertically as the slitter is between the top surface and the bottom surface of the paperboard.

AAPA does not teach a diagonal movement of the slitter to a second cutting location after the vertical movement of the slitter. Shinomiya teaches a diagonal movement of a slitter to a second cutting location after the step of pulling the slitter out of

Application/Control Number: 10/773,589

Art Unit: 3724

the paperboard. See Figs. 1-3 and cols. 3-4, lines 32-6. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the step of moving the slitter diagonally to the second cutting location after it is pulled out of the paperboard (or in other words, after the vertical movement in AAPA) as taught by Shinomiya to the method of AAPA for reducing the traveling time of the slitter.

AAPA does not teach using a servomotor to horizontally move the slitter/scorer.

Ima teaches using a servomotor 18 to horizontally move the slitter/scorer for better controlling a moving distance of the slitter/scorer. See Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a servomotor as taught by Ima to move the slitter/scorer of AAPA for better controlling a moving distance of the slitter/scorer.

AAPA does not teach using a servomotor to vertically move the slitter/scorer.

Pohl teaches using a servomotor 18 to horizontally move the slitter/scorer for better controlling a moving distance of the slitter/scorer. See Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a servomotor as taught by Pohl to move the slitter/scorer of AAPA for better controlling a moving distance of the slitter/scorer.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the combination of AAPA and Shinomiya teaches the invention substantially as claimed except whether the moving path of slitter forms a plurality of straight lines or a curve. The shapes of the moving path depend on the speed of the vertical movement and the horizontal movement of the slitter and are obvious variants since they do not solve any stated problem. Since one of the shapes of the moving path is inherently in the combination of AAPA and Shinomiya, varying the speed of the vertical movement and the horizontal movement of the slitter to get the other shape

Application/Control Number: 10/773,589 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

of the moving path is routine skilled in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to select any desired speed for the vertical movement and the horizontal movement so that the moving path of the slitter forms lines or a curve since such practice is routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 7, see AAPA.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Shinomiya, Ima and Pohl as applied to claims above, and further in view of Japanese Patent Publication H8-11245, hereinafter JPP 11245.

The combination of AAPA and Shinomiya teaches moving the slitter vertically out of the paperboard, moving the slitter horizontally to a predetermined position between a first cutting location and a second cutting location, and moving the slitter diagonally to the second cutting location. The combination of AAPA and Shinomiya fails to teach the step of moving the slitter diagonally while it is in the paperboard. JPP 11245 teaches that a slitter can be moved laterally while it is in a paperboard. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to move the slitter diagonally to the predetermined position after it is partially pullout from the paperboard to reduce the traveling time of the slitter since JJP 11245 teaches that a slitter can be moved laterally while it is in a paperboard.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/11/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3724

Applicant's arguments with respect to the servomotor have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's argument with respect to Shinomiya is not persuasive. Shinomiya teaches simultaneously moving the slitter/scorer in vertical direction and horizontal direction in col. 3; line 48-52 which results in a diagonal movement.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PN:

m

March 21, 2007

Timothy V. Eley Primary Examiner